

Cotton is quoted this morning at from 8 1/2 to 9 cents.

A few of the trees begin to show the approach of Spring.

Now is the time to prune your grape vines and fruit trees.

W. C. Best, Esq., of Asheville, is in the city attending Court.

The weather prophets are predicting severe weather for March.

The Court of General Sessions convenes at Wallaha next Monday.

The season for shooting birds will expire on the 15th of next month.

J. A. McCollough, Esq., of Greenville, S. C., spent Monday in the city.

J. W. Quattlebaum, Esq., has some depositions to prepare for next week.

The Anderson Oil Mill is disposing of its fertilizers as fast as it can be manufactured.

Mr. J. C. Hamlin is now a resident of the city, having moved his family here last week.

Mr. J. M. Baker, of Lowndesville, has been spending a few days in the city attending Court.

As soon as the weather permits, go to work in your garden and have a good one.

On account of sickness Rev. G. P. Watson, the pastor, was not able to occupy his pulpit last Sunday.

There is still a big demand for cotton seed. Numbers of farmers will have to buy seed to plant their crop.

The Greenville Democrat has made its appearance again, and is now edited by our old friend, Mr. John O. Bailey.

Mr. R. F. White, one of the most popular drummers on the road, gave a couple of days ago. He reports business good.

Mr. C. F. Jones left yesterday for New York, where he will spend two or three weeks buying his stock of spring and summer goods.

Mr. T. M. Childers, one of Piedmont's popular young men, was in the city Tuesday and gave the INTELLIGENCER a pleasant call.

The roads are bad, we know, but don't blame the County Commissioners. These estimable gentlemen have no control whatever over the weather.

Mr. C. J. Mulkey, of the R. & D. main line, is stopping in the city under treatment for neural catarrh. Mr. Mulkey is a depot agent at Fort Madison, S. C.

Mr. B. W. Speer, of Lowndesville, is now clerking in the drug store of Hill Bros. He is a worthy young man, and we are glad to welcome him to Anderson.

Mr. B. D. Sharpe, of Sandy Creek, Ga., is spending a few days in the city visiting his brother, Mr. Samuel H. Sharpe, who has been quite sick for the past three weeks.

Rev. A. L. Patterson will preach at Concord Church next Sunday morning, at 11 o'clock. The friends of the congregation are cordially invited to attend the service.

Our oldest friend, Mr. John T. Green, of Bolton Township, who has been quite sick for several weeks, is now improving, and we hope will soon be in his usual health again.

The bad weather has retarded farm work. In fact nothing has been done. Spring sowing of oats has not commenced, and hardly a furrow has been made toward a summer crop.

Miss Lizzie Williams, of the Ladies' Store, has decided to close out her stock of ladies' and children's shoes, and is now offering some rare bargains to the trade. Call and see her stock.

Mr. W. M. Wheeler will open a photograph gallery next door to Postoffice in a few days, and will execute first class work. The gallery is being fitted up for him in nice style.

The new machinery for the addition to the cotton mill continues to arrive and is being placed in position. It will take several weeks more to get everything properly adjusted and in place.

Mr. E. H. Ford, of Lexington, Ky., has been employed by Mr. Dickens as manager of the Hotel Chiquola. Mr. Ford is an experienced hotel man, and we are glad to have him in Anderson.

Owing to the scarcity of hogs in the West it is probable that the price of bacon will be high for a year or two; so if you want to keep a few dollars in your pocket, proceed at once to raise your own pork.

We invite your attention to Messrs. Dean, Greer & Moore's new advertisement. These young gentlemen have discovered their stock and are not afraid of competitors in price. Give them a call and see their goods.

The concert announced to take place in the Opera House last Friday night by the music pupils of the Girls' High School was postponed, on account of the weather, until next Friday evening, 24th inst. Tickets on sale at Orr & Sloan's.

Mr. J. E. Haynes, the father of the unfortunate young man who killed himself in Greenville recently, states to the Greenville News that his son's mind was seriously impaired, and he believes it was brought about by the constant smoking of cigarettes.

The firm of Jones, Seybt & Co. has dissolved, Mr. G. F. Bigby retiring. Messrs. Jones & Seybt will continue the business, and the latter will leave in a few days for New York to buy a big stock of spring and summer goods. Mr. Bigby will remain with the firm for awhile as a salesman.

The ladies of the Baptist congregation will serve dinner and supper on next Tuesday, the 22nd inst., in the vacant room owned by Mr. E. A. Bell and formerly occupied by Mr. J. G. Cunningham. The public are cordially invited to be present and partake of the good things that will be provided.

The late rains have made the roads almost impassable. When will our people find out that good roads are a necessity and that if not properly constructed they will get in this condition every time we have a wet spell? There should certainly be devised and adopted some plan by which better roads than we now have should be secured.

Married, on Wednesday afternoon, February 8, 1893, at the residence of the bride's father, Mr. T. H. Stribling, near Seneca, by Rev. J. H. Hutson, Mr. John T. Lawrence and Miss Sue Alice Stribling. The groom was formerly a resident of Anderson and has many friends here who will join us in wishing him and his lovely bride a happy journey through life.

A syndicate contemplates building a machinery plant at Calhoun Falls which will give employment to about 1,000 men. Atlanta, Ga., is working for the prize, but interested parties are investigating the Calhoun Falls as compared with Atlanta. If the shops should come, the owners will buy the Calhoun estate, and use the water power to run electric plant with which to move the machinery.

The dwelling home of Mr. B. F. Duncan, in Vanceville, N. C., was destroyed by a burglar last Thursday night, while the family was absent from home. Entrance was effected by breaking a window sash. About \$100 and some provisions were stolen. Several trunks were opened and the contents scattered on the floor. It is to be hoped the guilty party will be brought to justice.

The State says: The pension granted by the United States to soldiers who served in the Mexican war has been, by act of Congress, increased from \$8 per month to \$12. The increase applies to "every pensioner who is wholly disabled for manual labor and is in destitute circumstances." Cap. U. R. Brooks, of the office of the Secretary of State, has a number of blank applications for the increase that he will furnish to veterans requesting them.

On Monday night Mr. J. P. Gibson, of Bennettsville, S. C., State Lumber and Organizer of the Independent Order of Good Templars will lecture in the Methodist Church, beginning at 8 o'clock. He will discuss the Dispensary and Prohibition. The lecture will be free, and every body is invited. Mr. Gibson is working under the authority of the Grand Lodge of I. O. G. T., of South Carolina, and is at present delivering a series of lectures in the Piedmont section.

The Grand Council of Royal and Select Master Masons held its 34th annual session in Charleston last week, and our young townsman, Mr. F. T. Wilbitt, was elected Most Illustrious Grand Master for the ensuing year. This is quite a compliment to Mr. Wilbitt, who is perhaps the youngest man who has ever held the office of Grand Master of the Grand Chapter, which held its annual session at the adjournment of the Grand Council.

Walhalla Courier: Mr. Wm. M. Orr died at his home in Oconee County on Thursday, 9th inst., after a lingering illness. For many years he had been a great sufferer from the effects of a serious hurt on one of his hands received years ago. The hand was amputated last summer in the hope of giving him permanent relief, but the old wound broke out again on his arm which caused his death. He was a native of Anderson County, but had resided in this County the greater part of his life. He was an upright citizen and a honest man, enjoying the respect and confidence of all who knew him.

Willie, the eldest son of Mr. W. D. Brown, of this city, met with an unfortunate accident last Friday afternoon whereby he lost the third finger of his right hand. Willie and another little boy were at the wood pile, and Willie was attempting to cut a stick which Willie was holding. As the axe was raised Willie moved his hand and in a twinkling the axe fell, completely severing the finger at the middle joint and badly cutting the second finger. Willie and his companion at once came over to town and son found Dr. Martin, who dressed the wound. The latter said that the bone had to be amputated and Willie went through the operation like a brave soldier. Indeed, it was remarkable how bravely he stood the accident and bore the pain. The little fellow is doing well and will soon have the use of his hand again.

It is not often that the people of any city have the opportunity and pleasure of enjoying such a literary feast as that presented to an Anderson audience in the Opera House last Monday evening. The lecture was given by Hon. E. B. Murray, who spoke of the pleasure it gave him to do so, and said Anderson was to be congratulated, as this was the first time the distinguished lecturer had ever visited South Carolina and our city. The only place where the lecturer stepped on the tour. When the lecturer stepped on the tour he was greeted with applause, and at once his handsome face and figure riveted the attention and won the admiration of every one of his auditors. He occupied the rostrum about one hour and thirty minutes, but every person present was so deeply interested and had been so highly entertained, it was deeply regretted when he closed. The subjects of the lecture were varied, as well as instructive and impressive, and his delivery and gestures were as perfect and graceful as they possibly could be. His matchless eloquence, sparkling wit, deep pathos and beautiful word pictures were sufficient to inspire any man, bring a smile to the cheek of him who never laughed before, tears to the eyes of him who never shed a tear, and make a lasting impression upon the mind of every individual under the hearing of his musical voice. Gov. Taylor has certainly not missed his calling in taking the lecture field. Our people would be delighted to have him visit Anderson again in the near future.

At the Bar of Justice. On Wednesday morning of last week the first case called for trial was that of the State vs. Keels Cummings, Joseph Weston and Ged Jackson, murder and accessories before the fact, the two last named being charged as accessories. Messrs. Murray & Watkins and Tribble & Prince were employed to defend the accused. Mr. H. H. Blaise assisted the Solicitor. The following jury was impaneled to try the facts: E. F. Reed, Foreman, P. M. Whitfield, James C. Ellison, John M. Gambrell, H. P. McDaniel, P. B. Langston, J. C. Clement, Austin W. Clement, Thos. E. Watkins, E. C. Pruitt, Rufus Fant, E. W. Brown. Each side put up ten witnesses. The case was not finished until Thursday, when the jury brought in a verdict of guilty as to Cummings and not guilty as to the others after a short deliberation. Cummings was thereupon sentenced to a term of seven years at hard labor in the Penitentiary. The defendants were young Ocar Young, a young white man, in the upper part of the County last August.

Three young colored men, Oberley Richardson, E. J. Williams and David Burton, were then put on trial for assaulting with intent to ravish a young white woman, Miss Lula Gonnell, at Piedmont some weeks ago. Judge T. B. Cooke appeared for the defendants. The jury brought in a verdict of "guilty of an assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature as to Richardson, and not guilty as to the others." Richardson was sentenced to the Penitentiary for twelve months. The following jury tried the case: J. S. Fowler, Foreman, Luther J. Burris, N. A. Shirley, Jas. H. Hatcher, John N. Tate, B. Y. Wright, Thos. D. Stevenson, Wm. L. Gambrell, John W. Dawson, A. F. Shearer, A. W. McCollough, J. D. Maxwell.

At Martin, a colored man, was then put on trial for murder. The Court appointed Messrs. H. H. Watkins and H. H. Blaise to defend Martin. A jury was impaneled and the case proceeded, but the State having failed to make out its case the jury was ordered to bring in a verdict of not guilty.

The case of J. Miss Sullivan was continued on Monday from Associate Justice Y. J. Pope of the Supreme Bench. Sullivan's counsel, as we stated last week, moved to have the case removed from this Court on the ground that this Court had not acquired jurisdiction thereof. Judge Izlar overruled this order, and counsel then appealed to Justice Pope for a restraining order, which was granted.

The first intimation that Capt. Sullivan or any of his Attorneys had that any one

was suspected of bribing, or attempting to bribe the jury in his behalf, was the account published in the Greenville News. At once on the 14th inst., Mr. C. F. Jones, Esq., came over, together with other parties who were implicated, determined to fully investigate the whole case. Capt. Sullivan was ignorant of the facts alleged and felt assured that none of his friends were parties to any such scheme. He and his friends regretted that Trammell had died, and offered any aid they could give to secure him, and have him make a clean breast of the whole affair.

They at once went to work investigating the matter. From Mr. Wardlaw, Esq., they obtained Trammell's affidavit and return to the rule issued against him, which was made on the afternoon the rule was issued. In his affidavit Trammell stated that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs. Sullivan, and that Respondent did not pay a penny for any one for any purpose whatever connected with this case, and that he had never admitted nor denied that he had the conversation alleged to have been had with the jurymen, and that he was under the influence of liquor at the time. "That is the one part offered to pay this Respondent any money for the purpose of using it in any way in the case of the State vs